# **Public Document Pack**







# **Democratic Support**

Plymouth City Council Civic Centre Plymouth PLI 2AA

Please ask for Katey Johns/ Helen Rickman/ Lynn Young T 01752 307815/ 398444/ 304163 E katey.johns@plymouth.gov.uk/ helen.rickman@plymouth.gov.uk/ lynn.young@plymouth.gov.uk www.plymouth.gov.uk/democracy Published 16 July 2014

#plymplanning

# PLANNING COMMITTEE ADDENDUM REPORTS

Thursday 17 July 2014 4.00 pm Council House, Plymouth (Next to the Civic Centre)

#### **Members:**

Councillor Stevens, Chair Councillor Tuohy, Vice Chair

Councillors Mrs Bowyer, Darcy, K Foster, Mrs Foster, Jarvis, Morris, Nicholson, Stark, Jon Taylor, Kate Taylor and Wheeler.

PLEASE FIND ATTACHED ADDENDUM REPORTS FOR CONSIDERATION UNDER AGENDA ITEMS 6.1, 6.4 AND 6.5.

Tracey Lee
Chief Executive

## **PLANNING COMMITTEE**

6.1. LAND OFF ABERDEEN AVENUE, PLYMOUTH 14/00152/OUT

(Pages I - 2)

Applicant: Beavertail Ltd Ward: Eggbuckland

Recommendation: Grant conditionally subject to a \$106 obligation, with delegated authority to refuse in the event that the \$106 obligation is not completed by I September 2014.

6.4. 3 THE ARGYLE, SUTHERLAND ROAD, PLYMOUTH,

(Pages 3 - 4)

14/00818/FUL

Applicant: Mr Sergio Shemetras

Ward: Drake

Applicant: Grant Conditionally

6.5. SPEEDWAY, COYPOOL, PLYMOUTH, 14/00932/FUL

(Pages 5 - 8)

Applicant: Peninsula Developments

Ward: Plympton Erle

Recommendation: Grant Conditionally

# **ADDENDUM REPORT**

# Planning Committee



Item Number: 6.1

Site: Land off Aberdeen Avenue, Manadon Park, Plymouth.

Planning Application Number: 14/00152/OUT

Applicant: Beavertrail Ltd.

Page: 9

## **Highways Issues**

It is considered by Officers that it is not necessary to install a signalised junction at the junction of St Peters Road and Manadon Roundabout. This is because the increase in the use of this junction as a result of the development will not be of a level that would significantly impact upon it. The amended plans show that only a maximum of 20 dwellings will be accessed from St Peters Road, in addition to some of the traffic that might use this junction from the removal of the bus gate. It is considered by Officers that the additional traffic movements associated with the use of these 2 new junctions will not have a severe impact upon the St Peters Rod/Manadon Roundabout junction. Furthermore, the introduction of signals at this junction would be likely to give rise to cause for concern from the Highway Agency due to increased queuing traffic on the A38 off-slips.

It is proposed to add the following condition:

#### **ACCESS RESTRICTION**

The access from the site onto St Peters Road shall serve a maximum of 20 dwellings only.

Reason: To ensure that an appropriate and safe access is provided at the site, in the interests of public safety, convenience and amenity, in accordance with Policy CS28 of the Adopted Local Development Core Strategy 2007.

# **Agronomists report**

The applicant has instructed a consultant (from a list of preferred consultants provided by Sport England) to produce and agronomists report into the ground conditions at the adjacent cricket pitch which will be brought into use if the application is approved and the development delivered. It is thus proposed that an additional clause is inserted into the Section 106 Agreement requiring the applicant to fund the commission of an agronomists report and undertake any improvements required to the surface of the pitch (with regards to regarding, drainage) recommended in the report, in order to bring it back into use.

#### Letters of representation

Since the amended plans were received and advertised 34 letters of representation have been received. These confirm previous objections and concerns raised mainly on highway grounds.



# **ADDENDUM REPORT**

Planning Committee



Item Number: 6.4

Site: 3 The Argyle, Sutherland Road

Planning Application Number: 14/00818/FUL

**Applicant: Mr Sergio Shemetras** 

Page: 59

## **Representations**

An additional letter of representation has been received. The comments received in this letter related to over population of student accommodation and additional noise disturbance.

The application is not for an HMO or student accommodation and therefore a separate planning application would be necessary to change the use to an HMO.



# **ADDENDUM REPORT**

Planning Committee



Item Number: 6.5

Site: Speedway, Coypool, Plymouth Application Number: 14/00932/FUL Applicant: Peninsula Developments

**Page: 67** 

# **Letters of Representation**

13 further letters of representation have been received, which raise the following additional objections and observations:-

- I. First Group operate the park and ride facility at Coypool. They average 1000 passengers using the Coypool P&R car park facility. Their concern is that, if this application goes ahead, the levels of people using the car park in order to attend the speedway will adversely affect people wishing to use the Park & Ride service into the Plymouth City Centre as they will not be able to park. Their peak hours are between 10.00 to 16.00 hours, so these events would fall during that time. Evening events do not cause an issue.
- 2. Speedway spectators use the Park and Ride car park for free so does that mean on a Saturday afternoon there will be reduced or no parking available for paying Park and Ride customers?
- 3. The Speedway operators are gradually asking for more and more hours.
- 4. The Speedway Operators will be practically able to race whenever they want which they have already done by holding a double race meeting on the afternoon of Saturday 14th June 2014 outside of their agreed conditions and restrictions. The Council were made fully aware of their intentions 12 days before the intended meeting and it appears they took no action by allowing this meeting to go ahead, why?
- 5. Speedway bikes are being heard and seen being run around the track at other times outside of their planning conditions, which also illustrates their non-compliance.
- 6. Any variation of the application is simply a means to attempt to increase the hours that the Speedway can be held.

The manager of the nearby B and Q retail store states that they believe that as part of this application the B and Q premises car park would be used to support the proposed meetings. The manager makes it very clear that this would be impossible on a Saturday afternoon due to trading on a very busy day for B and Q.

Other points in these representations have already been covered in the report.

II further letters of support were received, which state that:-

- a. There is more noise generated at Argyle and Albion during periods of operation than there is at Coypool.
- b. There is a potential for better things for this arena in the future which will bring more revenue into the city.
- c. Youngsters are starting to get some experience with this sport.
- d. It would seem a reasonable alternative to extending the season in order to get the required fixtures completed.
- e. The meetings would be less intrusive due to the ambient daytime traffic noise on the adjacent Plymouth Road and slipway to the A38.
- f. Speedway is good family entertainment.
- g. The sport brings revenue to the city as well as putting Plymouth on the map for future visitors.
- h. Plymouth has the only speedway track in the South-West.

Two other observations are generally in support, including the observation that the club needs more dates to run meetings due to previous dates where meetings have been postponed due to weather or in the expectation of future rained off meetings.

#### **Consultation Responses**

Further responses were received from the Local Highway Authority. The key points are:

- 1. The submitted data on the estimations of the likely car parking demand is questionable.
- 2. The park and ride site has not been included in the red line of the application and is outside the ownership and control of the applicant and the applicant has failed to serve notice on the Council as owner of the park and ride site.
- 3. The number of cars overspilling from the speedway might be in excess of 100 cars, which would be in direct conflict with the park and ride operation, and in particular the afternoon peak demand at the park and ride.
- 4. Additional vehicle movements attracted and generated in the vicinity of the Marsh Mills roundabout, and further add to the usual rush-hour congestion between 13.30 and 18.00 hours.
- 5. The applicant has estimated attendance of about 75-105 cars, based on data from Exeter Speedway. However, Local Highway Authority considers that such comparisons cannot be relied upon.
- 6. The applicant has referred to greater use of buses; linked trips; a drop-in type of attendance at the meetings; half-price tickets and consequently a spread attendance over the course of the meetings. However, Local Highway Authority consider these assumptions to be unreliable in terms of predicting a lower use of private motor cars and lower use of the park and ride. On the contrary, a greater turnover of vehicles would create overlaps in parking demand and a potential to increase overspill parking.
- 7. Parking demand could vary considerably depending on the weather, the overlap in parking demand and the extent to which the events have been promoted. The use of the park and ride site could also vary considerably.
- 8. The proposed meeting on 9<sup>th</sup> August is during the Summer holiday period, when demand for the park and ride is likely to be high in connection with the programme of events in the city and the other usual available activities.
- 9. The use of the park and ride site for speedway attendance would set an unwelcome precedent

The formal recommendation of refusal is on the grounds that the inadequate provision of parking would result in interference with the operation of the park and ride facility and/or vehicles would have to stand on the public highway, which would be harmful to amenity, public safety and convenience and the free flow of traffic; and the lack of parking would increase the vehicle movements taking place at and in the vicinity of the application site, which would be harmful to public safety and convenience; free flow of traffic and would be an unwarranted hazard.

# Consideration of letters of representation and Local Highway Authority comments

The use of the B and Q car park has been referred to by the applicant. The use of the B and Q car park is understood to take place for speedway and other ad hoc uses, such as cyclists using the Plym valley cycle route. However, the main consideration is whether the speedway site and the park and ride site are capable of meeting the demand for parking without prejudicing the function of the park and ride and the highway network. In this case, as set out in the report, the proposals are not considered to be harmful to these interests.

It is recognised that the speedway event held on 14<sup>th</sup> June 2014 was outside of the permitted hours of use and that this was a breach of the extant permission. The applicant has acknowledged this was a breach of their planning consent.

It is also recognised that the speedway operation is evolving, i.e. by the introduction of a youth team, which requires changes to the times and days of use. However, these changes have to be considered on their merits and, so far, have not been considered harmful to recognised planning interests. Otherwise, the submitted representations are not considered to raise any issues that would affect the recommendation to members to approve the application.

#### **Further Information**

The following sample of data showing parking occupancy at Coypool has been provided by the Local Highway Authority Department. The first two dates are the nearest 2013 equivalents to the proposed two speedway meetings. The Local Highway Authority state that the 14 June figure is higher than usual owing to the unofficial junior speedway meeting on that day. The higher figures on 28 June relate to Armed Forces Day.

	Saturday P&R			Speedway Evening	
Date	Peak Occupancy	Peak Occupancy percentage of capacity (%)	Peak occupancy time of day	Peak Occupancy	Peak Occupancy percentage of capacity (%)
10/08/2013	188	41	13:25	228	50
21/09/2013	212	48	12:55		
11/04/2014				182	40
19/04/2014	216	48	12:55	168	37
02/05/2014				173	38
24/05/2014	216	48	13:20		
30/05/2014				184	40
31/05/2014	176	39	13:45		
06/06/2014				192	42
07/06/2014	183	40	11:55		
14/06/2014	290	64	13:30		
21/06/2014	194	43	11:50	173	38
28/06/2014	361	80	15:15		

# Page 8

#### Section 106 obligations

The applicant has agreed to amend the original Section 106 agreement so that it relates to the new decision notice, if granted.

## **Consultation period**

There was a requirement to re-advertise the planning application because the original description inferred a more onerous proposal that would allow a permanent change to the times of operation. The current consultation period does not expire until 22 July. Therefore a decision cannot be made until after the expiry of this new consultation period. However if this matter was deferred until the next August Planning Committee it would be after the date of the event planned for 9<sup>th</sup> August 2014.

#### **Revised Recommendation**

For the reasons outlined above it is proposed that the recommendation is revised to read "Minded to Grant Conditionally subject to a \$106 Planning Obligation with delegated authority to Assistant Director of Strategic Planning and Infrastructure to determine the application following consideration of any further letters of representation and consultation with the Chair, Vice Chair and Conservative representative"